

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
TEXARKANA DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA**

**VS.**

**JAMES SANDERS**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 5:00-CR-8 (2)**

**USM No. 08006-078**

**Defendant's Attorney: Pro Se**

**Date of Previous Judgment:** May 23, 2001

(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment, if Applicable)

**ORDER REGARDING MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. §3582(c)(2)**

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the Court under 18 U.S.C. §3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §994(u), and having considered such motion,

**IT IS ORDERED** that the motion is:

☒ **DENIED.** ☐ **GRANTED**

**I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE** (Prior to any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Amended Offense Level: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Criminal History Category: \_\_\_\_\_

Previous Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ months

Amended Guideline Range: \_\_\_\_\_ months

**II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE**

☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.

☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.

☐ Other. (**explain**):

**III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS**

**Career Offender**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**SIGNED this 23rd day of June, 2009.**



DAVID FOLSOM

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE